

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/705,200	Applicant(s) HAMELIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Igwe U. Anya	Art Unit 2825	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Igwe U. Anya. IA (3) _____
 (2) Jeffery Karceski JDK (4) _____

Date of Interview: 11/12/14

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sugat et al. (US Patent 4898798).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The gas being heated in the gas distribution system and within the chamber to avoid condensation. The examiner pointed out this feature is taught by Sugata et al.; however the claims are allowable if the objected to claims and intervening claim are annexed to the independent claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required